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The Origin and Evolution of the Woolly Mammoth
Adrian M. Lister1* and Andrei V. Sher2

The mammoth lineage provides an example of rapid adaptive evolution in response to the changing environments of the Pleistocene. Using well-dated samples from across the mammoth’s Eurasian range, we document geographical and chronological variation in adaptive morphology. This work illustrates an incremental (if mosaic) evolutionary sequence but also reveals a complex interplay of local morphological innovation, migration, and extinction in the origin and evolution of a mammalian species. In particular, northeastern Siberia is identified as an area of successive allopatric innovations that apparently spread to Europe, where they contributed to a complex pattern of stasis, replacement, and transformation.

Testing among models of species-level evolution in the fossil record ideally requires abundant samples that are finely stratified, accurately dated, and correlated across a broad geographical area (1). Most previous studies of fossil mammals have lacked the resolution to identify lineage splitting in contrast to phyletic change, nor have they offered sufficient geographical spread to distinguish in situ transformation from immigration (2, 3). Among large mammals, the mammoth lineage has one of the most complete records for the first time. R EPORTS
the molar teeth (LF), defined as the number of enamel plates in a 10-cm length of crown (4). Fourteen European samples are plotted in Fig. 2, spanning ~2.6 Ma to 25 ka, and show a largely directional trend: Rank correlation against time is highly significant (P < 0.001) (9). This is of adaptive significance, as lamellar spacing is critical to elephant dental function (4). However, the apparently gradualistic sequence is somewhat misleading, because LF can be raised not only by an evolutionary increase in the number of plates in the crown, but also by a simple reduction in size: Isometrically smaller teeth with identical plate counts have more closely spaced plates (10). Because mammoth size varied through the Pleistocene (6, 10), this could be responsible in part for the LF trend.

We have therefore plotted the raw number of plates (P) in complete third molars (Fig. 3A) (11). A second, independent variable, the hypsodonty index (HI), is plotted for third upper molars in Fig. 3B (12); this character is linked to important concomitant changes in skull architecture (deepening of cranium and mandible). The earliest known mammoths, M. subplanifrons from southern and eastern Africa (~4 Ma), with very low plate number (P = 7 to 9 only) and shallow crown (HI = ~0.6 to 0.9), are the most primitive sample.

The oldest European population is based on a combined sample from Britain (Red Crag), Italy (Montopoli), and Romania (Cernatesti), all around 2.6 Ma. Hypsodonty is already at typical M. meridionalis level, but plate number shows a transitional condition from the African progenitor, with only 9 to 11 plates in third molars.

Typical M. meridionalis morphology (P = 12 to 14, with outliers at 11 and 15, and mean HI = ~1.2) is achieved by ~2.4 to 2.2 Ma (Khapry), and P remains in stasis for around a million years, through ~1.8 Ma (Upper Valdarno, the type area of the species) to Pietrafitta (~1.4 Ma).

Around 1.0 Ma, some samples show little change (13) or slight advancement in P to a range of 13 to 15 [e.g., St-Prest, France (Fig. 3)]. However, an east European sample from the Taman' Peninsula, Azov Sea, codified as the "advanced form" M. meridionalis tamanensis (14), shows enhanced variability in the direction of M. trogontherii and has been posited as a key "intermediate" between the two species. But although this sample as a whole is intermediate in both P and HI between the type M. meridionalis and M. trogontherii, it has a rather broad morphological range (P = 14 to 19, HI = 1.3 to 1.8), and the distribution of these characters is bimodal (Fig. 3) (15), unexpected for a simple anagenetic intermediate.

At around 700 ka, two smaller samples, from Voigtstedt, Germany [M. meridionalis voigstediensis (16)], and West Runton, England (type Cromerian plus adjacent late Beestonian gravels), are of very similar age (17). They include molars at full M. trogontherii level (P = 19 to 22, HI = 1.6 to 1.9), but also specimens showing persistent "advanced M. meridionalis" morphology in one or more characters (Fig. 3) (18). P and HI are only partly congruent at Taman' and Voigtstedt: Some specimens are of "mosaic" morphology (low P, high HI), whereas a few others show "intermediate" values (P = 16, HI = 1.5) between typical M. meridionalis and M. trogontherii (Fig. 3).

By ~600 ka, only M. trogontherii occurred in Europe, as at Süssenborn, the type locality of the species. The sample from Mosbach (~500 ka, probably MIS 13) is equivalent to Süssenborn in plate number, but shows an increase in mean HI to ~2.0, bringing it to the maximum level of the lineage, a further example of mosaic change.

Mammoth samples postdating the Anglian/Elsterian glaciation in Europe (~450 ka, probably MIS 12) have often been regarded as early forms of woolly mammoth M. primigenius on the basis of increased LF relative to M. trogontherii (19, 20). However, the change in this variable is misleading and masks underlying stasis. The rising LF trend (Fig. 2) from Mosbach (~500 ka) through Steinheim (~350 ka) to Ilford (~200 ka) is due entirely to compression of the molar plates resulting from the size reduction experienced by mammoths through this part of the sequence (6, 10). Plate number itself, the true indicator of evolutionary level, remained in stasis at the "M. trogontherii" level through the interval 600 to 200 ka (Fig. 3A). Other dated European samples that we have measured—such as Ariendorf, Germany (~300 to 150 ka), Tourville la Rivière, France (~230 ka), and several MIS 7 sites such as Stanton Harcourt, England, and Ehrlingsdorf, Germany (~200 ka)—corroborate the late persistence of M. trogontherii morphology (21).

Moreover, there is evidence that the end of this interval is marked by the simultaneous occurrence in Europe of mammoths of M. trogontherii and M. primigenius morphology. The sample from Marsworth, UK, of late MIS 7 or early MIS 6 age (~190 to 150 ka) was carefully collected from a single horizon. It shows a wide spread of P values with an apparently bimodal distribution, the two modes closely corresponding in morphology to the immediately preceding (M. trogontherii) and succeeding (M. primigenius) populations. A similar distribution of P values (18 to 24) is seen in a smaller sample from another site, Brandon (Suffolk, UK), of late MIS 7 age (22).

**Fig. 2.** Lamellar frequency of third upper molars in European mammoths, plotted against linear time. Mean ± 1 standard error shown. For West Runton, only "trogontherii" specimens, omitting the "meridionalis" molar at P = 15 (see Fig. 3A), are included. Sample sizes are in brackets.
In keeping with this timing for the transition, several samples from MIS 6 (~190 to 130 ka) represent the earliest sole occurrence of *M. primigenius*, fully derived in all characters, in Europe (23). These include La Cotte, Jersey, Channel Islands (UK); Tatterthorpe, Lincolnshire, England; Zemst Iib, Flemish Valley, Belgium; and Balderton, Nottinghamshire, England, the latter plotted in Figs. 2 and 3. Similar mean values for all variables persist in almost all European samples from the “last cold stage” (MIS 4-2) (Figs. 2 and 3). Many of these latest samples do, however, show a marked degree of intrapopulation morphological spread (Fig. 3), including specimens reminiscent of *M. trogontherii* in P values (24).

Our Siberian sequence shows morphological transitions similar to those in Europe, but persistently ahead of Europe in the timing of successive morphologies. Our samples are all from northeastern Siberia, between the Lena and Kolyma River valleys; the earliest, from the Early Olyorian, spans 1.2 to 0.8 Ma. From this date or earlier, mammoths in northeastern Siberia were living in an herb- and grass-dominated environment under permafrost conditions (23). The Early Olyorian sample is approximately equivalent to the European Taman’ sample in age but is more derived in plate count, whereas in hypsodonty it corresponds only to the “advanced” mode at Taman’ (Fig. 3). Except in a smaller size of teeth, the Early Olyorian sample is barely distinguishable from *M. trogontherii*, which does not appear in Europe until ~700 ka.

By the Late Olyorian (~800 to 600 ka), mammoths in Siberia approached *M. primigenius* morphology in all characters, anticipating the European sequence by several hundred thousand years; by the Late Pleistocene (~150 to 10 ka), Siberian mammoths exceeded European values in mean plate number (although not in hypsodonty) (Fig. 3), with “relict” *M. trogontherii* morphology much rarer than in Europe.

In sum, the pattern of change in Europe, although incremental on a broad time scale, includes substantial intervals of stasis and—at the two intervals of important transition—bimodality, which suggests more complex populational or cladogenetic processes. In keeping with this pattern, the early development in northeastern Siberia of advanced mammoths similar to later European *M. trogontherii* suggests the origin of this morphology in northeastern Siberia (presumably from an eastern *M. meridionalis* population), followed by its later dispersal to the south and west, where it eventually superseded the indigenous *M. meridionalis* morphology. Previous authors have questioned the simple descent of *M. trogontherii* from *M. meridionalis* in Europe (26) or have suggested the occurrence of two forms of mammoth there in the interval ~1.0 to 0.8 Ma (13), but the source of the more advanced form was not known. It may be significant that the earliest detected *M. trogontherii* morphology in Europe is at the eastern fringes of the continent (Taman’), whereas penecontemporaneous samples in western Europe (e.g., St-Prest) remained at a primitive *M. meridionalis* level.

However, the complexity of variation in Europe between 1.0 and 0.5 Ma, with incremental morphological advancement and mosaic or intermediate specimens within the samples, suggests that Early Olyorian immigrants were not completely reproductively isolated from the contemporary European population, but received some genetic input from it through this period (27). This is consistent with the fact that *M. trogontherii* at West Runton and even Süssenborn are still slightly more “primitive” than the ancestral...
Early Olyorian population in features such as mean plate number (Fig. 3A) and enamel thickness (28).

In the second part of the sequence, comprising the shift from M. trogontherii to M. primigenius (~500 to 200 ka), our reappraisal of the European sequence suggests that a transition formerly assumed to be “gradualistic” in fact entails stasis followed by apparent sympatry and then replacement, a conclusion strengthened by the absence of a transitional population in Europe. Previous suggestions of differently adapted mammoth populations in Europe from 200 to 100 ka (29, 30) have been based on remains from a variety of deposits, and so could not distinguish between cladogenesis (implied by sympatry) and rapid anagenesis between populations of slightly differing ages. Moreover, the fossil sequence in northeastern Siberia demonstrates, as early as the Late Olyorian (~800 to 600 ka) and certainly by the late Middle Pleistocene (~500 to 200 ka), mammoths essentially indistinguishable from later European M. primigenius. This invites the hypothesis that the transition between the two chronospecies occurred in Siberia, with M. primigenius morphology later spreading to Europe.

In this transition as in the earlier one, a modified hypothesis to strict allopatric replacement would be partial introgression from the European to the incoming Siberian population (27). The persistence of some trogontherii-like variation within Late Pleistocene European M. primigenius is likely to be the heritage of an incomplete genetic barrier between the two species in the Middle Pleistocene, which, in view of the apparent isolation of the two forms at Marsworth, implies complex and variable degrees of isolation within a metapopulation around the time of speciation. In accordance with our model, the rarity of relic M. trogontherii morphology in Late Pleistocene Siberia reflects its phyletic transformation into M. primigenius there, in contrast to Europe, where both forms may have contributed to later populations.

This study shows that substantial evolutionary transformation can be effected through a sequence of intermediate morphologies over several hundred thousand to a few million years—in this sense “gradual,” or better, incremental (31). It is also clear that different characters change at different times: “mosaic” evolution or, in phylogenetic terms, the order of building of the character complex. In Europe, P increases in several significant steps across the interval 2.6 to 0.15 Ma, whereas H undergoes its major change in two bursts between 1.0 and 0.5 Ma (Fig. 3).

The incremental, directional change observed both in Europe and in Siberia might be accounted for by separate anagenesis, convergent between the two regions. However, from a cladistic perspective, it is more parsimonious to regard the shared dental and cranial features of Olyorian and European mammoths as evidence of phylogenetic links in the origin both of M. trogontherii and of M. primigenius, and this is supported by the patterning among samples in time and space. The earlier origin of M. trogontherii and M. primigenius morphologies in Siberia, and the enhanced variation or bimodality in Europe around the times of transition, are consistent with a critical input from outside, whether by simple replacement or (more likely) by more complex metapopulation processes including hybridization. The pattern of stasis and change in Europe shares elements with a “punctuated equilibrium” pattern of evolution (1–3). However, species origins in this example are not as clear-cut as in classic allopatric models, but apparently proceeded through the differential development of partially isolated populations.

Finally, our data should allow testing of correlations between the pattern of evolutionary change and the shifting paleoenvironments of the Pleistocene. For the moment, we note that the early initiation and persistent advancement of grazing adaptations in Siberian mammoths, compared to those in Europe, was very likely linked to the earlier advent and greater severity and continuity of periglacial conditions in that region (32). Siberia thereby provided a continuing source of grazing-adapted mammoths, which we suggest acted as a repeated source of evolutionary advancement into periodically glaciated Europe.
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